

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/898,894 | WATANABE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Etienne P. LeRoux | 2161 |

All Participants:

(1) Etienne P. LeRoux

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(2) Shannen Delaney

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-9 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested in view of the most recent claim amendments that claims 1-9 and 49 include allowable subject matter. In order to advance prosecution, examiner suggested that claims other than the above be cancelled. After obtaining agreement from the inventor, Ms. Shannen Delaney granted permission to cancel claims 10-30, 36, 38-44 and 46-48.